

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,287	07/08/2003	Tadashi Mori	VX032538	7452	
21369	7590 03/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VARNDELL & VARNDELL, PLLC			ROSENBERG, LAURA B		
106-A S. COI ALEXANDRI	LUMBUS ST. A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, ===:		3616		
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1		
V		10/614,287	MORI ET AL.	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Laura B Rosenberg	3616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	s		
THE   - External enternal ente	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timety filed  (30) days will be considered timety.  THS from the mailing date of this communation  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by the same of the same	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in Apele priority documents have been abureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	e		
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/97 r No(s)/Mail Date 7-8-03.	48) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	)		

Art Unit: 3616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 08 July 2003, in which claims 6 and 7 were amended and claims 10-17 were added, has been entered.

## **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 10 July 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2002-201392 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-5, and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

"the support pillar of an outer middle portion" should be a suport pillar of an outer middle portion (claim 2, line 3);

"the ceiling portion" should be --a ceiling portion-- (claim 2, line 3; claim 3, line 5; claim 4, line 3; claim 9, line 2);

"the support pillars in the rear portion" should be --support pillars in the rear portion-- (claim 2, line 4);

"both of the support pillars" should be --both support pillars-- (claim 3, line 3);

"the rear support pillar" should be --a rear support pillar-- (claim 3, line 4);

"the outer middle support pillar" should be --an outer middle support pillar-- (claim 3, lines 4-5);

"the rear surface" should be --a rear surface-- (claim 5, line 2);

"the reinforcing function" should be --a reinforcing function-- (claim 9, lines 2-3).

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "pipe-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 1 is confusing because the claimed subject matter differs from that provided in the specification and in the drawings. Specifically, the connection member is "arranged in a support pillar" according to claim 1, but the connection member is only attached to a support pillar in the specification and the drawings. Clarification is required.

Regarding claims 6 and 10-13, the examiner cannot ascertain the subject matter being claimed.

Generally speaking, claims 1-17 are written in an extremely unclear manner. It is difficult to determine exactly where the connection member, support pillars, and other features are intended to be located based on the wording in the claims. The applicant

Art Unit: 3616

should reword the claims in order to more clearly set forth the subject matter being claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakyo (6,769,732). As best understood, Sakyo discloses:
- Driver's cab (#10) for a work vehicle (best seen in figure 1) structured by a pipe-like member (including frame members and connection member)
- Connection member (#30) for dispersing stress and attached to a support pillar (including #11a, 12a) in an opposite side to a frame to which an external force is applied (as can be seen in figure 10)
- Connection member is arranged between right and left side surface portions (for example, horizontal and longitudinally extending surface portions of frame structures #11, 12) in a ceiling portion (best seen in figure 4)

Art Unit: 3616

 Mounting bracket (#32, 132) formed in an approximately L-shape (best seen in figure 11)

- Connection member is a collared pipe-shaped member (best seen in figures 3-9, 11-15) and is provided with a bracket portion (including #32, 132, 232) attached to a frame member (best seen in figures 8, 11, 12, 15)
- Connection member "is provided not only in the specific positions but also in required positions in a combination manner"

The method forming is not germane to the issue of patentability. Thus, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

- 8. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 11, 13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hausmann (4,135,756). As best understood, Hausmann discloses:
- Driver's cab (including structure #S) for a work vehicle (#T) structured by a pipe-like member (including frame members and connection members)
- Connection member (#10, 14-16) for dispersing stress is arranged in a support pillar (#2, 3) in an opposite side to a frame to which an external force is applied (column 2, lines 8-10)
- Connection member is arranged between a member (#8) connecting both support pillars (including #2, 3) and a rear surface upper transverse member (#6), and in a rear support pillar (#2, 3)
- Connection member arranged in a lower side of a rear surface portion (including #2,
   3; best seen in figure 2)

Art Unit: 3616

Mounting bracket (#12) formed in an approximately L-shape (best seen in figure 2)

- Connection member "is provided not only in the specific positions but also in required positions in a combination manner"
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 12- 14, 16, and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson et al. (5,280,955). As best understood, Nelson et al. disclose:
- Driver's cab (#10) for a work vehicle (not shown) structured by a pipe-like member
   (including frame members and connection members)
- Connection member (including #24, 26) for dispersing a stress is arranged in a support pillar (including #18, 20, 22, 34) in an opposite side to a frame to which an external force is applied (best seen in figure 3)
- Connection member arranged in front and rear portions (for example, #20, 22, 34)
   opposing to a support pillar of an outer middle portion (for example, #18) in a ceiling portion, and between support pillars in the rear portion (including #34)
- Connection member arranged between both right and left side surface portions (including #18) in a ceiling portion (best seen in figure 2)
- Connection member arranged in a lower side of a rear surface portion (including #18, 22, 34)
- Mounting bracket (including #52, 54)

Connection member (including #24) used in a ceiling portion is a collared pipe-shaped member (best seen in figure 2) and is provided with a bracket portion (including #52) that can be attached to a frame member (including #18, 20, 22, 34)

 Connection member "is provided not only in the specific positions but also in required positions in a combination manner"

The method forming is not germane to the issue of patentability. Thus, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Duncan, O'Neill et al., Fryk et al., Mondragon Sarmiento et al., Johnson et al., Sorensen et al., and Sakyo et al. ('746) each disclose a driver's cab for a work vehicle structured by a pipe-like member and a connection member that can disperse stress when an external force is applied.

Taul discloses a driver's cab for a work vehicle structured by a pipe-like member and an L-shaped mounting bracket.

Geissler et al. and Kröning et al. each disclose a vehicle frame structured by a pipe-like member and a connection member that can disperse stress when an external force is applied.

Belleau et al. disclose a method of forming a driver's cab for a work vehicle structured by a pipe-like member.

Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B Rosenberg whose telephone number is (703) 305-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beginning April 7, 2005, Laura B Rosenberg can be reached at the new USPTO location at (571) 272-6674, and Paul Dickson can be reached at (571) 272-6669.

Laura B Rosenberg
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3616

**LBR** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600